Monthly Noise Monitoring Assessment

Tomingley Gold Mine, June 2023



Document Information

Monthly Noise Monitoring Assessment

Tomingley Gold Mine

June 2023

Prepared for: Tomingley Gold Operations Pty Limited

Prepared by: Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd

PO Box 678, Kotara NSW 2289

ABN: 36 602 225 132 P: +61 2 4920 1833

www.mulleracoustic.com

Document ID	Date	Prepared By	Signed	Reviewed By	Signed
MAC160270-2023RP06	30 June 2023	Nicholas Shipman	N. Syn	Oliver Muller	

DISCLAIMER

All documents produced by Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) are prepared for a particular client's requirements and are based on a specific scope, circumstances and limitations derived between MAC and the client. Information and/or report(s) prepared by MAC may not be suitable for uses other than the original intended objective. No parties other than the client should use or reproduce any information and/or report(s) without obtaining permission from MAC. Any information and/or documents prepared by MAC is not to be reproduced, presented or reviewed except in full.



CONTENTS

1	INTR	ODUCTION	5
2	ENVI	RONMENTAL PROTECTION LICENSE NOISE LIMITS	7
3	METH	IODOLOGY	9
	3.1	LOCALITY	9
	3.2	ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	9
4	RESU	ILTS	11
	4.1	METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS	11
	4.2	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R2	13
	4.3	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R3/R29	14
	4.4	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R4	15
	4.5	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R5	16
	4.6	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R6	17
	4.7	ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R23	18
5	DISC	USSION	19
	5.1	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R2	19
	5.2	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R3/R29	19
	5.3	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R4	19
	5.4	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R5	19
	5.5	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R6	20
	5.6	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R23	20
6	COM	PARISON OF ATTENDED AND UNATTENDED MONITORING RESULTS	21
7	CON	CLUSION	23

APPENDIX A - GLOSSARY OF TERMS



This page has been intentionally left blank



1 Introduction

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has been commissioned by Tomingley Gold Operations Pty Ltd (TGO) to complete a Noise Monitoring Assessment (NMA) for Tomingley Gold Mine (the mine), Tomingley, NSW.

The NMA involved quantifying the noise contribution of the mine by direct attended measurements to determine mining noise emissions so that effective management and controls can be implemented where required. The monitoring has been conducted in accordance with the TGO Noise Management Plan and in general accordance with Conditions L4.2 to L4.7 of the EPL at six representative receiver locations. It is noted that this assessment has been completed as part of an internal noise management initiative and does not form part of the annual noise monitoring program to address conditions of the Environmental Protection License (EPL).

The assessment has been conducted in accordance with the following documents:

- NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA), Noise Policy for Industry (NPI), 2017;
- NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA's), Approved methods for the measurement and analysis of environmental noise in NSW, 2022;
- Environment Protection Licence EPL 20169 (EPL);
- Standards Australia AS/NZS IEC 61672.1-2019-Electroacoustics Sound level meters –
 Specifications; and
- Standards Australia AS 1055:2018 Acoustics Description and measurement of environmental noise - General Procedures.

A glossary of terms, definitions and abbreviations used in this report is provided in **Appendix A**.



This page has been intentionally left blank



2 Environmental Protection License Noise Limits

Historic assessments for the mine categorise receivers into Noise Assessment Groups (NAGs). The NAGs were derived based on ambient noise data that controlled receiver RBLs.

Table 1 reproduces the operational and sleep disturbance noise limits for assessed receivers referenced from the EPL that have been adopted for this NMA and are consistent with historic EPL monitoring locations.

Table 1 Noise Limits, dBA										
Noise Assessment	Receivers	Day	Evening	Nig	ht					
Group	Neceivers	LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)	LA1(1min)					
NAG A	R4, R5, R6	35	35	35	45					
NAG B	R2	36	36 35		45					
NAG C	NAG C R3/R29		35	35	45					
NAG D	R23	43	38	36	45					

Note: Refer to figure in Appendix 4 of Project Approval 09-0155 for noise locations. However, these criteria do not apply if the Proponent has an agreement with the relevant owner(s) of these residences / land to generate higher noise levels, and the Proponent has advised the Department of Planning and Infrastructure and EPA in writing of the terms of this agreement.



This page has been intentionally left blank



3 Methodology

3.1 Locality

TGO is located to the south of the village of Tomingley, NSW. Receivers in the locality surrounding the mine are primarily rural/residential and for consistency the naming conventions for each receiver have been retained from historic noise assessments. The monitoring locations with respect to the mine are presented in the locality plan shown in **Figure 1**.

3.2 Assessment Methodology


The attended noise survey was conducted in general accordance with the procedures described in Standards Australia AS 1055:2018, "Acoustics - Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise" and the EPL. Measurements were carried out using a Svantek Type 1, 971 noise analyser between Monday 19 June 2023 and Wednesday 21 June 2023. The acoustic instrumentation used carries appropriate and current NATA (or manufacturer) calibration certificates with records of all calibrations maintained by MAC as per Approved methods for the measurement and analysis of environmental noise in NSW (EPA, 2022) and complies with AS/NZS IEC 61672.1-2019-Electroacoustics - Sound level meters - Specifications. Calibration of all instrumentation was checked prior to and following measurements. Drift in calibration did not exceed ±0.5dBA

Both evening and night measurements were of 15-minutes in duration. Where possible, throughout each survey the operator quantified the contribution of each significant noise source. Extraneous noise sources were excluded from the analysis to calculate the LAeq(15min) mine noise contribution for comparison against the relevant EPL limit.

Prevailing meteorological conditions for the monitoring period were sourced from TGO's meteorological station and analysed in accordance with Appendix D1 of the NPI to determine the stability category present at the time of each measured sample. This was undertaken to determine applicability of results in accordance with Condition L4.3 of the EPL. Results obtained during non-prevailing meteorological conditions (ie F Class Stability in conjunction with a 2m/s drainage or G Class Stability) are considered not applicable against the EPL criteria. Although some prevailing meteorological conditions were recorded during the assessment period, ground level conditions remained calm.

It is noted that due to adverse meteorological conditions, data was unable to be obtained during the evening period on the Monday 19 June 2023 at location R4.





4 Results

The monitoring and assessment results are presented in individual tables for each assessment location.

4.1 Meteorological Conditions

Weather data for the noise assessment was sourced from TGOs on-site meteorological. The data was used to determine prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of the attended measurements, which are presented in **Table 2**.



Table 2 Prevailing Meteorological Conditions

T. 0.5.	TGO on-site Meteorological Station							
Time & Date	Wind Direction	Wind (m/s)						
19/06/2023 19:34	S	2.8						
19/06/2023 20:18	SSW	4.1						
19/06/2023 20:42	SW	3.5						
19/06/2023 21:07	SW	2.5						
19/06/2023 21:32	SW	2.8						
19/06/2023 22:03	SW	2.2						
19/06/2023 22:25	SW	2.7						
19/06/2023 22:45	SW	2.8						
19/06/2023 23:09	SW	2.0						
19/06/2023 23:35	SW	2.0						
20/06/2023 00:00	SW	1.6						
20/06/2023 19:35	SE	1.9						
20/06/2023 19:57	SSW	1.4						
20/06/2023 20:21	SSW	0.7						
20/06/2023 20:43	SE	1.0						
20/06/2023 21:03	SE	1.0						
20/06/2023 21:26	E	0.5						
20/06/2023 22:03	SSE	1.5						
20/06/2023 22:25	ESE	1.8						
20/06/2023 22:44	SSE	0.9						
20/06/2023 23:05	NE	0.8						
20/06/2023 23:30	ESE	0.5						
20/06/2023 23:52	SSE	1.3						
21/06/2023 19:37	E	0.9						
21/06/2023 19:58	E	1.3						
21/06/2023 20:22	E	2.1						
21/06/2023 20:44	E	2.1						
21/06/2023 21:03	SE	0.9						
21/06/2023 21:26	ENE	2.4						
21/06/2023 22:03	ENE	3.1						
21/06/2023 22:24	ENE	2.5						
21/06/2023 22:42	ENE	4.3						
21/06/2023 23:03	ENE	3.8						
21/06/2023 23:27	NE	3.7						
21/06/2023 23:49	ENE	5.6						



4.2 Assessment Results - Location R2

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R2 for the June 2023 survey are summarised in **Table 3** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

D 1	T: (1)	Descript	tor (dBA r	e 20 µPa)	EPL	1	D ' ' ' 1001 ID
Date	Time (hrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Limit	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dB/
	24.24					WD: SW	Livestock 28-39
19/06/2023	21:31	43	27	23	35	WS: 2.8m/s	Dog 31-43
	(Evening)					Stab Class: D	TGO processing <28
	TO	GO Site LA	eq(15min) (Contributio	n		<28
	00.00		26	23		WD: SW	Livestock 29-44
19/06/2023	22:03	51			35	WS: 2.2m/s	Traffic 26-51
	(Night)					Stab Class: E	TGO processing <26
	T(GO Site LA	eq(15min) (Contributio	n		<26
20/06/2023	01.05			30		WD: E	Operator 46-51
	21:25	51	32		35	WS:0.5m/s	Livestock 30-33
	(Evening)					Stab Class: F	TGO processing 30-31
	T(GO Site LA	.eq(15min)	Contributio	n		31
	00.00	55		30	35	WD: SSE	Operator 44-55
20/06/2023	22:03		33			WS: 1.4m/s	Livestock 28-30
	(Night)					Stab Class: D	TGO processing 31-34
	TO	30 Site LA	eq(15min) (Contributio	n		33
	21:26					WD: ENE	Dog 26-23
21/06/2023	-	48	31	26	35	WS: 2.4m/s	Operator 40-48
	(Evening)					Stab Class: E	TGO processing 26-29
	T(GO Site LA	eq(15min) (Contributio	n		28
	22.02					WD: ENE	Livestock 33-35
21/06/2023	22:03	59	38	26	35	WS: 3.8m/s	Traffic 35-59
	(Night)					Stab Class: D	TGO processing 30-33
	T(GO Site LA	.eq(15min) (Contributio	n		32

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station. $\label{eq:total_total}$



4.3 Assessment Results - Location R3/R29

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R3/R29 for the June 2023 survey are summarised in **Table 4** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

5.	- "	Descrip	otor (dBA re	e 20 µPa)	EPL	1	5
Date	Time (hrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Limit	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dB/
19/06/2023	20:42 (Evening)	87	69	37	35	WD: SW WS: 3.5m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 40-87 TGO processing <35
		<35					
19/06/2023	22:45 (Night)	83	65	36	35	WD: SW WS: 2.8m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 35-83 Aircraft 40-45 TGO processing <32
	Т	GO Site L	Aeq(15min)	Contribution	า		<32
20/06/2023	20:43 (Evening)	85	68	40	35	WD: SE WS: 1.0m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 35-85 TGO inaudible
	T	GO Site L	Aeq(15min)	Contribution	า		<30
20/06/2023	22:44 (Night)	87	66	42	35	WD: SSE WS: 0.9m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 40-87 Dog 42-52 Truck idle 50-52 TGO processing <35
	Т	GO Site L	Aeq(15min)	Contribution	า		<35
21/06/2023	20:44 (Evening)	86	65	37	35	WD: E WS: 2,1m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 37-86 TGO inaudible
	T	GO Site L	Aeq(15min)	Contribution	า		<27
21/06/2023	22:42 (Night)	88	67	41	35	WD: ENE WS: 4.3m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 40-88 TGO inaudible
	Т	GO Site I	Aea(15min)	Contribution	า		<31



4.4 Assessment Results - Location R4

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R4 for the June 2023 survey are summarised in **Table 5** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 5 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results – Location R4											
Date	Time (hre)	Descriptor (dBA re 20 μPa)		EPL	Motoorology ¹	Description and SPL, dBA					
Date	riirie (riis)	Time (hrs) LAmax		LA90	Limit	Meteorology '	Description and SPL, dBA				

Due to constant rainfall during the measurement period, monitoring was unable to be completed as per Table A1, Fact Sheet A in the Noise Policy for Industry (NPI), 2017 and AS1055:2018.

	00.05					WD: SW	Livestock 26-34
19/06/2023	23:35	50	34	28	35	WS: 2.0m/s	Traffic 30-50
	(Night)					Stab Class: E	TGO reverse alarm <30
	TG	O Site LA	veq(15min)	Contributio	n		<30
						WD: SSW	Traffic 25-52
20/06/2023	19:57	52	31	23	35	WS: 1.4m/s	Birds 35-38
	(Evening)					Stab Class: D	TGO inaudible
	TG	O Site LA	veq(15min)	Contributio	n		<20
	23:30					WD: ESE	Traffic 25-52
20/06/2023		52	27	18	35	WS: 0.5m/s	Dog 31-33
	(Night)					Stab Class: F	TGO inaudible
	TG	O Site LA	veq(15min)	Contributio	n		<18
	19:58					WD: E	Traffic 28-48
21/06/2023		49	34	26	35	WS: 1.3m/s	TGO inaudible
	(Evening)					Stab Class: F	r GO maudible
	TG	O Site LA	veq(15min)	Contributio	n		<20
21/06/2023	23:27 (Night)	55	45	42	35	WD: NE WS: 3.7m/s Stab Class: D	Wind in trees 40-54 TGO inaudible
	TG	<30					



4.5 Assessment Results - Location R5

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R5 for the June 2023 survey are summarised in **Table 6** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

D 1	T' (1)	Descript	or (dBA re	20 μPa)	EPL	1	D ' ' ' 10D ID '	
Date	Time (hrs) -	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Limit	Meteorology ¹	Description and SPL, dBA	
	19:34	1				WD: S	Traffic 50-80	
19/06/2023		80	66	42	35	WS: 2.7m/s	Wind in trees 40-45	
	(Evening)					Stab Class: F	TGO inaudible	
	TG		<32					
	00.00			14		WD: S	T#:- 0F 00	
20/06/2023	00:00	80	58		35	WS: 1.2m/s	Traffic 25-80 TGO inaudible	
	(Night)					Stab Class: D	1GO maudible	
	TG	<20						
20/06/2023	19:35 (Evening)	10:25					WD: SW	T (": 40.00
		80	64	41	35	WS: 1.5m/s	Traffic 40-80	
						Stab Class: F	TGO inaudible	
	TG	GO Site LA	eq(15min) C	Contribution			<31	
	22.50			32		WD: SSE	Twoffin 22 77	
20/06/2023	23:52	77	56		35	WS: 1.2m/s	Traffic 33-77	
	(Night)					Stab Class: D	Off-site drilling 31-33	
	TG	O Site LA	eq(15min) C	Contribution			<22	
	19:37					WD: E	Traffic 40-80	
21/06/2023	(Evening)	81	65	38	35	WS: 0.9m/s	TGO inaudible	
	(Everiling)					Stab Class: F	1 GO maudible	
	TG	O Site LA	eq(15min) C	Contribution			<28	
	22:40		59			WD: E	Traffic 40-79	
21/06/2023	23:49	79		39	35	WS: 5.6m/s	Wind in trees 36-46	
	(Night)					Stab Class: D	TGO processing 30-34	
	TG	32						



4.6 Assessment Results - Location R6

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R6 for the June 2023 survey are summarised in **Table 7** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

D 1	T: // \	Descript	tor (dBA r	e 20 µPa)	EPL	. 1	D ' ' ' 10D1 ID
Date	Time (hrs)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Limit	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dB/
	20:18 (Evening)					WD: SSW	Traffic 50-58
9/05/2023		58	46	42	35	WS: 4.1m/s	Wind in trees 42-48
						Stab Class: D	TGO inaudible
	TG	O Site LAe	q(15min) C	ontribution			<32
19/05/2023	23:09					WD: SW	Traffic 25-52
		52	28	22	35	WS: 2.0m/s	Aircraft 27-43
	(Night)					Stab Class: D	TGO inaudible
	TG	O Site LAe	q(15min) C	ontribution			<20
20/05/2023	20:21 (Evening)					WD: SSW	Traffic 27-50
		50	33	28	35	WS: 0.7m/s	Livestock 30-35
	(Evening)					Stab Class: D	TGO processing <28
	TG	O Site LAe	q(15min) C	Contribution			<28
	23:05 (Night)			21 26		WD. NE	Traffic 32-42
10/0E/2022		49 3	21		35	WD: NE	Operator 44-49
20/05/2023			31 26	35	WS: 1.8m/s	Livestock 28-30	
						Stab Class: D	TGO processing 30-33
	TG	O Site LAe	q(15min) C	ontribution			32
						WD: E	Traffic 26-50
21/05/2023	20:22	51	31	24	35	WS: 2.1m/s	Livestock 32-38
1/03/2023	(Evening)	31	31	24	33	Stab Class: E	Aircraft 30-34
						Stab Class. L	TGO processing 26-28
	TG	O Site LAe	q(15min) C	ontribution			27
							Traffic 35-50
	23:03					WD: ENE	Wind in trees 38-42
21/05/2023		50	43	40	35	WS: 3.8m/s	Aircraft 40-48
	(Night)					Stab Class: D	Livestock 33-35
							TGO processing 33-35
	TG	O Site LAe	q(15min) C	ontribution			34



4.7 Assessment Results - Location R23

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R23 for the June 2023 survey are summarised in **Table 8** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 8 Ope	erator-Atten	ded Nois	e Survey	Results –	Location	n R23			
Date	Time (hrs)	Descrip	tor (dBA r	e 20 µPa)	EPL	Motoprology 1	Description and CDL dDA		
Date	rime (ms)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90	Limit	Meteorology	Description and SPL, dBA		
19/06/2023	21:07 (Evening)	68	43	34	38	WD: SW WS: 2.5m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 35-68 TGO processing <33		
	Т		<33						
19/06/2023	22:25 (Night)	79	53	37	36	WD: SW WS: 2.7m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 38-79 TGO processing <33		
	Т		<33						
20/06/2023	21:03 (Evening)	60	48	40	38	WD: SE WS: 1.0m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 35-60 TGO processing 34-36		
	Т	GO Site LA	veq(15min) (Contribution			35		
20/06/2023	22:25 (Night)	61	49	40	36	WD: E WS: 1.8m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 40-61 Local residential noise 40-47 TGO processing <35		
	Т	GO Site LA	veq(15min) (Contribution			<35		
21/06/2023	21:03 (Evening)	60	47	40	38	WD: SE WS: 0.9m/s Stab Class: F	Traffic 35-60 Livestock 38-40 TGO inaudible		
	Т	GO Site LA	veq(15min) (Contribution			<30		
21/06/2023	22:24 (Night)	69	48	34	36	WD: ENE WS: 2.5m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 35-69 TGO processing 33-35		
TGO Site LAeq(15min) Contribution 34									



5 Discussion

5.1 Discussion of Results - Location R2

Monitoring between Monday 19 June 2023 and Wednesday 21 June 2023 identified that TGO activities were audible on six occasions during the assessment period at location R2. The estimated mining contributions were measured between 26dBA and 33dBA, therefore TGO emissions remained below the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min). Extraneous sources such as dogs barking, operator noise, traffic and livestock were audible during the measurement period.

5.2 Discussion of Results - Location R3/R29

Monitoring between Monday 19 June 2023 and Wednesday 21 June 2023 identified that TGO activities were audible on three occasions during the assessment period at location R3/R29. The estimated mining contributions were measured between <27dBA and 35dBA, therefore TGO emissions remained below the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min). Extraneous sources such as traffic, aircraft and dogs barking were audible during the measurement period.

5.3 Discussion of Results - Location R4

Monitoring between Monday 19 June 2023 and Wednesday 21 June 2023 identified that TGO activities were audible on one occasion during the assessment period at location R4. The estimated mining contribution was measured at <30dBA, therefore TGO emissions remained below the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min). Extraneous sources such as birds, traffic, livestock, dogs barking and wind in trees were audible during the measurement period.

5.4 Discussion of Results - Location R5

Monitoring between Monday 19 June 2023 and Wednesday 21 June 2023 identified that TGO activities were audible on one occasion during the assessment period at location R5. The estimated mining contribution was measured at 32dBA, therefore TGO emissions remained below the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min). Extraneous sources such as traffic, offsite drilling and wind in trees were audible during the measurement period.



5.5 Discussion of Results - Location R6

Monitoring between Monday 19 June 2023 and Wednesday 21 June 2023 identified that TGO activities were audible on four occasions during the assessment period at location R6. The estimated mining contributions were measured between 27dBA and 34dBA, therefore the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min) was satisfied. Extraneous sources such as traffic, aircraft, wind in trees, operator and livestock were audible during the measurement period.

5.6 Discussion of Results - Location R23

Monitoring between Monday 19 June 2023 and Wednesday 21 June 2023 identified that TGO activities were audible on five occasions during the assessment period at location R23. The estimated mining contributions were measured between 33dBA and <36dBA, therefore the noise limit of 38dB LAeq(15min) for evening and 36dB LAeq(15min) for night was satisfied. Extraneous sources such as traffic, livestock, and local residential noise were audible during the measurement period.



6 Comparison of Attended and Unattended Monitoring Results

To address Condition 6 of Schedule 3 of the Project Approval, a program to calibrate and validate the real-time noise monitoring results with the attended monitoring results has been completed.

The validation compares monthly attended monitoring results against the closest assessed unattended monitoring location. Currently, TGO has an unattended real-time monitoring terminal installed at the Brooklands property (nearest to R23). **Figure 1** identifies the location of the monitor with respect to the attended monitoring locations. It is noted that the Brooklands unattended monitor is situated 600m west of the attended noise monitoring location R23, therefore, background (LA90) noise levels are significantly lower due to offset distance to highway traffic.

Historically, a comparison of mine noise contributions between attended and unattended noise monitoring demonstrates a general consistency between attended and unattended results. It was noted that wind, insects, birds, and highway traffic noise influenced measured noise levels for this assessment. Furthermore, for June 2023, results remained below the relevant criteria for attended locations.

Table 9 provides a summary comparison of results between the attended and unattended noise surveys for R23.



Table 9 Comp	arison of	Attended	and Una	attended	Results			
Assessment Type	Time (hrs)		Descriptor A re 20 µl		Criteria	Mine Noise	Meteorology ¹	Description and SPL,
туре	(1115)	LAmax	LAeq	LA90		Contribution		dbA
				М	onday 19 Ju	ıne 2023		
Attended	21:07	68	43	34	38	<33	WD: SW - WS: 2.5m/s	Traffic 35-68 TGO processing <33
Unattended	21:00	52	44	41	38	<31	Stab Class: D	No audio trigger
Attended	22:25	79	53	37	36	<33	WD: SW	Traffic 38-79 TGO processing <33
Unattended	22:30	50	39	33	36	<23	- WS: 1.2m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic TGO inaudible
				Tu	ıesday 20 Jı	une 2023		
Attended	21:03	60	48	40	38	35	WD: SE WS: 1.0m/s	Traffic 35-60 TGO processing <34-36
Unattended	21:00	53	43	39	38	<29	Stab Class: E	No audio trigger
Attended	22:25	61	49	40	36	<36	WD: E WS: 0.4m/s	Traffic 35-60 Local residential noise 40-47 TGO processing <36
Unattended	22:30	54	41	30	36	<20	Stab Class: D	No audio trigger
				Wed	dnesday 21	June 2023		
Attended	21:03	60	47	40	38	<30	WD: SE WS: 0.9m/s	Traffic 35-60 Livestock 38-40 TGO inaudible
Unattended	21:00	56	45	37	38	<27	Stab Class: F	No audio trigger
Attended	22:24	69	48	34	36	34	WD: ENE WS: 4.5m/s	Traffic 35-69 TGO processing 33-35
Unattended	22:30	60	45	31	36	<21	Stab Class: D	No audio trigger

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.



7 Conclusion

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has completed a Noise Monitoring Assessment (NMA) on behalf of Tomingley Gold Operations Pty Ltd (TGO). The assessment was completed to provide monthly monitoring data so that TGO can actively quantify and manage site noise emissions.

Attended monitoring conducted between Monday 19 June 2023 and Wednesday 21 June 2023 identified that TGO mine noise were audible on several occasions during the measurement period. A review of monitoring data and operator attended observations determined that TGO contributions remained below relevant limits.



This page has been intentionally left blank



Appendix A - Glossary of Terms



Several technical terms have been used in this report and are explained in **Table A1**.


Term	Description	
1/3 Octave	Single octave bands divided into three parts	
Octave	A division of the frequency range into bands, the upper frequency limit of each band being	
	twice the lower frequency limit.	
ABL	Assessment Background Level (ABL) is defined in the NPI as a single figure background level	
	for each assessment period (day, evening and night). It is the tenth percentile of the measured	
	L90 statistical noise levels.	
Ambient Noise	The noise associated with a given environment. Typically a composite of sounds from many	
	sources located both near and far where no particular sound is dominant.	
A Weighting	A standard weighting of the audible frequencies designed to reflect the response of the human	
	ear to noise.	
dBA	Noise is measured in units called decibels (dB). There are several scales for describing noise,	
	the most common being the 'A-weighted' scale. This attempts to closely approximate the	
	frequency response of the human ear.	
dB(Z)	Decibels Linear or decibels Z-weighted.	
Hertz (Hz)	The measure of frequency of sound wave oscillations per second - 1 oscillation per second	
	equals 1 hertz.	
LA10	A noise level which is exceeded 10 % of the time. It is approximately equivalent to the average	
	of maximum noise levels.	
LA90	Commonly referred to as the background noise, this is the level exceeded 90 % of the time.	
LAeq	The summation of noise over a selected period of time. It is the energy average noise from a	
	source, and is the equivalent continuous sound pressure level over a given period.	
LAmax	The maximum root mean squared (rms) sound pressure level received at the microphone	
	during a measuring interval.	
RBL	The Rating Background Level (RBL) is an overall single figure background level representing	
	each assessment period over the whole monitoring period. The RBL is used to determine the	
	intrusiveness criteria for noise assessment purposes and is the median of the ABL's.	
Sound power level (SWL)	This is a measure of the total power radiated by a source. The sound power of a source is a	
	fundamental location of the source and is independent of the surrounding environment. Or a	
	measure of the energy emitted from a source as sound and is given by:	
	= 10.log10 (W/Wo)	
	Where: W is the sound power in watts and Wo is the sound reference power at 10-12 watts.	



Table A2 provides a list of common noise sources and their typical sound level.

Table A2 Common Noise Sources and Their Typical Sound Pressure Levels (SPL), dBA		
Source	Typical Sound Level	
Threshold of pain	140	
Jet engine	130	
Hydraulic hammer	120	
Chainsaw	110	
Industrial workshop	100	
Lawnmower (operator position)	90	
Heavy traffic (footpath)	80	
Elevated speech	70	
Typical conversation	60	
Ambient suburban environment	40	
Ambient rural environment	30	
Bedroom (night with windows closed)	20	
Threshold of hearing	0	

Figure A1 – Human Perception of Sound





Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd PO Box 678, Kotara NSW 2289

ABN: 36 602 225 132 Ph: +61 2 4920 1833 www.mulleracoustic.com

