

# Noise Monitoring Assessment Monthly

Tomingley Gold Mine

February 2026

Prepared For: Tomingley Gold Operations Pty Ltd

March 2026

MAC160270-2026RP02



[mulleracoustic.com](http://mulleracoustic.com)

# Document Information

## Noise Monitoring Assessment

### Monthly

Tomingley Gold Mine

February 2026

Prepared for: Tomingley Gold Operations Pty Ltd




Prepared by: Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd

PO Box 678, Kotara NSW 2289

ABN: 36 602 225 132

P: +61 2 4920 1833

[www.mulleracoustic.com](http://www.mulleracoustic.com)

PROJECT MANAGER	PREPARED BY	REVIEWED BY	DATE	REPORT NO
Daniel Brown	William Archer	Oliver Muller	10 March 2026	MAC160270-2026RP02
				

Field Officer: William Archer

#### DISCLAIMER

All documents produced by Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) are prepared for a particular client's requirements and are based on a specific scope, circumstances and limitations derived between MAC and the client. Information and/or report(s) prepared by MAC may not be suitable for uses other than the original intended objective. No parties other than the client should use or reproduce any information and/or report(s) without obtaining permission from MAC. Any information and/or documents prepared by MAC is not to be reproduced, presented or reviewed except in full.

**CONTENTS**

1 INTRODUCTION.....5

2 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION LICENSE NOISE LIMITS.....7

3 METHODOLOGY .....9

    3.1 LOCALITY .....9

    3.2 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY.....9

4 RESULTS ..... 11

    4.1 METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS ..... 11

    4.2 ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R2..... 13

    4.3 ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R3/29..... 14

    4.4 ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R4..... 15

    4.5 ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R5..... 16

    4.6 ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R6..... 17

    4.7 ASSESSMENT RESULTS - LOCATION R23..... 18

5 DISCUSSION ..... 19

    5.1 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R2 ..... 19

    5.2 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R3/29 ..... 19

    5.3 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R4 ..... 19

    5.4 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R5 ..... 19

    5.5 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R6 ..... 20

    5.6 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS - LOCATION R23 ..... 20

6 COMPARISON OF ATTENDED AND UNATTENDED MONITORING RESULTS ..... 21

7 CONCLUSION ..... 23

APPENDIX A – GLOSSARY OF TERMS

*This page has been intentionally left blank*

# 1 Introduction

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has been commissioned by Tomingley Gold Operations Pty Ltd (TGO) to complete a Noise Monitoring Assessment (NMA) for Tomingley Gold Mine (the mine), Tomingley, NSW.

The NMA involved quantifying the noise contribution of the mine by direct attended measurements to determine mining noise emissions so that effective management and controls can be implemented where required. The monitoring has been conducted in accordance with the TGO Noise Management Plan and in general accordance with Conditions L4.2 to L4.7 of the EPL at six representative receiver locations. It is noted that this assessment has been completed as part of an internal noise management initiative and does not form part of the annual noise monitoring program to address conditions of the Environmental Protection License (EPL).

The assessment has been conducted in accordance with the following documents:

- NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA), Noise Policy for Industry (NPI), 2017;
- NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA), Approved Methods for the measurement and analysis of environmental noise in NSW, 2022;
- NSW Government, Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure, Development Consent SSD 9176045, 21 February 2023;
- NSW Environment Protection Authority (EPA), Environment Protection Licence # 20169 (EPL);
- Standards Australia AS/NZS IEC 61672.1-2019-Electroacoustics - Sound level meters – Specifications; and
- Standards Australia AS 1055:2018 - Acoustics - Description and measurement of environmental noise - General Procedures.

A glossary of terms, definitions and abbreviations used in this report is provided in **Appendix A**.

*This page has been intentionally left blank*

## 2 Environmental Protection License Noise Limits

Historic Noise Assessments for the mine categorise receivers into Noise Assessment Groups (NAGs). The NAGs were derived based on ambient noise data that controlled receiver RBLs.

**Table 1** reproduces the operational and sleep disturbance noise limits for assessed receivers referenced from the historic EPL and have been adopted for this NMA which are consistent with historic monitoring locations.

Table 1 Noise Limits, dBA					
Noise Assessment Group	Receivers	Day	Evening	Night	
		LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)	LAeq(15min)	LA1(1min)
NAG A	R4, R5, R6	35	35	35	45
NAG B	R2	36	35	35	45
NAG C	R3/29	45	35	35	45
NAG D	R23	43	38	36	45

Note: Refer to figure in Appendix 3 of Development Consent SSD 9176045, 21 February 2023 for noise locations. However, these criteria do not apply if the Proponent has an agreement with the relevant owner(s) of these residences / land to generate higher noise levels, and the Proponent has advised the Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure and EPA in writing of the terms of this agreement.

Note: It is understood that EPL #20169 was updated in May 2024. Notwithstanding, the criteria adopted in this assessment is referenced from the historic EPL issued in September 2016, which is more conservative and provides NAGs for attended monitoring locations.

*This page has been intentionally left blank*

## 3 Methodology

### 3.1 Locality

TGO is located to the south of the village of Tomingley, NSW. Receivers in the locality surrounding the mine are primarily rural/residential and for consistency the naming conventions for each receiver have been retained from historic Noise Assessments. The monitoring locations with respect to the mine are presented in the locality plan shown in **Figure 1**.

### 3.2 Assessment Methodology

The attended noise survey was conducted in general accordance with the procedures described in Standards Australia AS 1055:2018, "Acoustics - Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise" and the EPL. Measurements were carried out using a Svantek Type 1, 971 noise analyser between Tuesday 10 February 2026 and Friday 13 February 2026. The acoustic instrumentation used carries appropriate and current NATA (or manufacturer) calibration certificates with records of all calibrations maintained by MAC as per Approved Methods for the measurement and analysis of environmental noise in NSW (EPA, 2022) and complies with AS/NZS IEC 61672.1-2019-Electroacoustics - Sound level meters - Specifications. Calibration of all instrumentation was checked prior to and following measurements. Drift in calibration did not exceed  $\pm 0.5$  dBA.

Both evening and night measurements were of 15-minutes in duration. Where possible, throughout each survey the operator quantified the contribution of each significant noise source. Extraneous noise sources were excluded from the analysis to calculate the  $L_{Aeq}(15min)$  mine noise contribution for comparison against the relevant EPL limit.

Prevailing meteorological conditions for the monitoring period were sourced from TGO's on-site meteorological station and analysed in accordance with Appendix D1 of the NPI to determine the stability category present at the time of each measured sample. This was undertaken to determine applicability of results in accordance with Condition L4.3 of the EPL. Results obtained during non-prevailing meteorological conditions (ie F Class Stability in conjunction with a 2m/s drainage or G Class Stability) are considered not applicable against the EPL criteria.

FIGURE 1  
LOCALITY PLAN  
MAC160243  
TGO Compliance



**KEY**

- Receivers
- Brooklands
- Boundary



## 4 Results

The monitoring and assessment results are presented in individual tables for each assessment location.

### 4.1 Meteorological Conditions

Weather data for the noise assessment was sourced from TGOs on-site meteorological station as well as operator measured conditions on site of EPL nominated receiver locations. The data was used to determine prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of the attended measurements, which are presented in **Table 2**.

**Table 2 Prevailing Meteorological Conditions**

Date & Time	TGO on-site Meteorological Station (10m AGL)		Operator Measured Weather Monitoring Location (1.8m AGL)	
	Wind Direction	Wind (m/s)	Wind Direction	Wind (m/s)
	10/02/2026 19:44	S	2.7	E
10/02/2026 20:08	S	1.3	N	<0.1
10/02/2026 20:26	S	1.0	N	<0.1
10/02/2026 20:52	SW	1.0	N	<0.1
10/02/2026 21:21	S	1.1	N	<0.1
10/02/2026 21:45	NE	1.9	N	<0.1
10/02/2026 22:22	NE	3.5	E	0.7
10/02/2026 22:48	E	4.4	S	0.6
10/02/2026 23:12	E	3.4	E	<0.1
10/02/2026 23:42	E	6.0	NE	1.6
11/02/2026 00:18	NE	3.3	E	1.1
11/02/2026 00:47	NE	4.6	E	<0.1
11/02/2026 19:59	N	2.1	E	<0.1
11/02/2026 20:21	N	2.6	E	<0.1
11/02/2026 20:39	N	2.4	W	0.7
11/02/2026 21:01	N	2.3	NE	1.1
11/02/2026 21:26	N	1.4	NE	<0.1
11/02/2026 21:45	N	1.9	N	<0.1
11/02/2026 22:17	N	1.7	N	0.2
11/02/2026 22:42	N	2.4	N	<0.1
11/02/2026 23:15	E	1.5	SW	0.3
11/02/2026 23:43	E	1.7	NE	0.6
12/02/2026 00:16	NE	2.0	W	<0.1
12/02/2026 00:46	E	1.6	N	0.9
12/02/2026 19:20	S	6.2	SW	3
12/02/2026 19:44	S	6.1	SW	1.1
12/02/2026 20:07	S	3.2	S	1.2
12/02/2026 20:33	S	3.8	SE	2.4
12/02/2026 21:06	S	3.4	W	2.2
12/02/2026 21:31	S	3.4	N	<0.1
12/02/2026 22:00	SE	3.1	SE	0.8
12/02/2026 22:22	S	1.4	N	<0.1
12/02/2026 22:42	S	3.1	NE	0.4
12/02/2026 23:09	S	2.6	SW	0.6
12/02/2026 23:39	S	2.6	SW	0.6
13/02/2026 00:03	S	3.8	SE	0.3

## 4.2 Assessment Results - Location R2

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R2 for the February 2026 survey are summarised in **Table 3** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 3 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results - Location R2							
Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20µPa)			EPL Limit	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	Description and SPL, dBA
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>			
10/02/2026	19:44	54	31	25	35	WD: E WS: 0.3m/s Stab Class: D	Birds 20-54
							Insects 18-32
							Livestock 29-36
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<20
10/02/2026	22:22	44	33	29	35	WD: E WS: 0.7m/s Stab Class: D	Aircraft 33-44
							Insects 30-32
							Traffic 20-35
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							25
11/02/2026	19:59	69	42	25	35	WD: E WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 20-69
							Insects 28-42
							Birds 25-35
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<20
11/02/2026	22:17	36	28	22	35	WD: N WS: 0.2m/s Stab Class: D	Insects 20-36
							TGO Inaudible
							TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution
12/02/2026	19:20	73	54	49	35	WD: SW WS: 3m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 36-73
							Windblow Vegetation 45-56
							Birds 45-50
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<35
12/02/2026	22:00	46	34	29	35	WD: SE WS: 0.8m/s Stab Class: D	Dogs Barking 30-46
							Insects 26-31
							TGO Hum 23-29
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							25
TGO Mobile Plant 25-28							

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

### 4.3 Assessment Results - Location R3/29

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R3/29 for the February 2026 survey are summarised in **Table 4** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 4 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results - Location R3/29							
Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20µPa)			EPL Limit	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	Description and SPL, dBA
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>			
10/02/2026	20:26	93	69	41	35	WD: N WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 38-93
							Insects 40-45 Birds 33-56 TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<31
10/02/2026	23:12	86	67	44	35	WD: E WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 35-86
							Insects 41-52 Birds 38-51 TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<34
11/02/2026	20:39	96	73	51	35	WD: W WS: 0.7m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 51-96
							Insects 45-47 Birds 42-44 TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<35
11/02/2026	23:15	87	66	52	35	WD: SW WS: 0.3m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 55-87
							Insects 44-51 Dogs Barking 40-42 TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<35
12/02/2026	20:07	88	69	49	35	WD: S WS: 1.2m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 48-88
							Birds 42-62 TGO Siren 39-42 (45 Seconds) TGO Processing 35-42 (Just audible on lulls)
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							35
12/02/2026	22:42	87	67	48	35	WD: NE WS: 0.4m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 46-87
							Insects 40-42 TGO Hum 33-35 (Just audible on lulls)
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							33

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

#### 4.4 Assessment Results - Location R4

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R4 for the February 2026 survey are summarised in **Table 5** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 5 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results - Location R4							
Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20µPa)			EPL Limit	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	Description and SPL, dBA
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>			
10/02/2026	21:21	42	38	34	35	WD: N	Traffic 20-42
						WS: <0.1m/s	Insects 31-38
						Stab Class: E	TGO Inaudible
						TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution	
11/02/2026	00:18	51	38	34	35	WD: E	Windblown Vegetation 30-51
						WS: 1.1m/s	Traffic 30-44
						Stab Class: E	Insects 33-36
						TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution	
11/02/2026	21:26	52	47	44	35	WD: NE	Insects 45-52
						WS: <0.1m/s	Traffic 38-40
						Stab Class: D	TGO Inaudible
						TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution	
12/02/2026	00:16	48	41	37	35	WD: W	Insects 38-48
						WS: <0.1m/s	Traffic 35-45
						Stab Class: F	TGO Inaudible
						TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution	
12/02/2026	21:06	49	45	41	35	WD: W	Insects 40-49
						WS: 2.2m/s	Traffic 32-41
						Stab Class: D	TGO Inaudible
						TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution	
12/02/2026	23:39	37	28	23	35	WD: SW	Traffic 20-37
						WS: 0.6m/s	Insects 27-32
						Stab Class: E	TGO Inaudible
						TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution	

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

## 4.5 Assessment Results - Location R5

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R5 for the February 2026 survey are summarised in **Table 6** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 6 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results - Location R5							
Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20µPa)			EPL Limit	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	Description and SPL, dBA
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>			
10/02/2026	21:45	78	61	34	35	WD: N WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: F	Traffic 28-78
							Insects 20-41
							Offsite Works Depot 25-46
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<24
11/02/2026	00:47	77	56	29	35	WD: E WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 20-77
							Insects 27-32
							Offsite Works Depot 29-38
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<20
11/02/2026	21:45	77	60	30	35	WD: N WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 30-77
							Insects 26-31
							Offsite Works Depot 29-35
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<20
12/02/2026	00:46	77	58	29	35	WD: N WS: 0.9m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 20-77
							Offsite Works Depot 29-37
							Insects 28-31
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<20
12/02/2026	21:31	75	56	37	35	WD: N WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 30-75
							Offsite Works Depot 36-44
							Insects 28-35
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<27
13/02/2026	00:03	79	59	39	35	WD: SE WS: 0.3m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 30-79
							Offsite Works Depot 39-42
							Insects 33-36
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<29

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

#### 4.6 Assessment Results - Location R6

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R6 for the February 2026 survey are summarised in **Table 7** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 7 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results - Location R6							
Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20µPa)			EPL Limit	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	Description and SPL, dBA
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>			
10/02/2026	20:52	44	32	27	35	WD: N	Livestock 21-44
						WS: <0.1m/s	Insects 29-36
						Stab Class: E	TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<20
10/02/2026	23:42	49	36	32	35	WD: NE	MAC Operator 49
						WS: 1.6m/s	Traffic 30-43
						Stab Class: D	Windblown Vegetation 20-42
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<22
11/02/2026	21:01	41	26	23	35	WD: NE	Dogs Barking 36-41
						WS: 1.1m/s	Insects 24-34
						Stab Class: E	Livestock 20-25
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<20
11/02/2026	23:43	45	24	21	35	WD: NE	Traffic 20-45
						WS: 0.6m/s	Birds 20-27
						Stab Class: E	Insects 20-31
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<20
12/02/2026	20:33	52	45	42	35	WD: SE	MAC Operator 52
						WS: 2.4m/s	Insects 39-48
						Stab Class: D	Windblown Vegetation 40-46
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<35
12/02/2026	23:09	39	27	17	35	WD: SW	Insects 28-39
						WS: 0.6m/s	Windblown Vegetation 25-28
						Stab Class: D	TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<20

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

#### 4.7 Assessment Results - Location R23

The results of the attended noise measurements at location R23 for the February 2026 survey are summarised in **Table 8** with the relevant EPL limits, the calculated mining noise contribution and prevailing meteorological conditions at the time of each measurement.

Table 8 Operator-Attended Noise Survey Results - Location R23							
Date	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20µPa)			EPL Limit	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	Description and SPL, dBA
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>			
10/02/2026	20:08	74	49	38	38	WD: N WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 30-74
							Insects 35-38 Birds 29-48 TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<28
10/02/2026	22:48	61	47	43	36	WD: S WS: 0.6m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 44-61
							Insects 40-57 Birds 38-48 TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<33
11/02/2026	20:21	60	45	39	38	WD: E WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 48-60
							Insects 38-40 Birds 37-42 TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<29
11/02/2026	22:42	63	48	44	36	WD: N WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: E	Traffic 43-63
							Insect 40-44 Birds 37-45 Dog Barking 43-46 TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<34
12/02/2026	19:44	63	49	42	38	WD: SW WS: 1.1m/s Stab Class: D	Birds 37-63
							Traffic 42-54 Windblown Vegetation 30-51 TGO Inaudible
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							<32
12/02/2026	22:22	60	44	38	36	WD: N WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: D	Traffic 35-60
							Insects 32-39 TGO Hum 25-33
TGO Site L <sub>Aeq</sub> (15min) Contribution							25

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

## 5 Discussion

### 5.1 Discussion of Results - Location R2

Monitoring between Tuesday 10 February 2026 and Thursday 12 February 2026 identified that TGO activities were audible on two occasions during the assessment period at location R2. The estimated mining contributions were measured at <35dBA, therefore TGO emissions remained below the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min). Extraneous sources such as insects, livestock, traffic, aircraft, dogs barking, birds, and windblown vegetation were audible during the measurement period.

### 5.2 Discussion of Results - Location R3/29

Monitoring between Tuesday 10 February 2026 and Thursday 12 February 2026 identified that TGO activities were audible on two occasions during the assessment period at location R3/29. The estimated mining contributions were measured at 35dBA, therefore TGO emissions remained below the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min). Extraneous sources such as traffic, dogs barking, insects and birds were audible during the measurement period.

### 5.3 Discussion of Results - Location R4

Monitoring between Tuesday 10 February 2026 and Thursday 12 February 2026 identified that TGO activities were audible on one occasion during the assessment period at location R4. The estimated mining contributions were measured at <35dBA, therefore TGO emissions remained below the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min). Extraneous sources such as traffic, insects and windblown vegetation were audible during the measurement period.

### 5.4 Discussion of Results - Location R5

Monitoring between Tuesday 10 February 2026 and Friday 13 February 2026 identified that TGO activities remained inaudible during the assessment period at location R5. The estimated mining contributions were measured at <29dBA, therefore TGO emissions remained below the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min). Extraneous sources such as traffic, offsite works depot and insects were audible during the measurement period.

## 5.5 Discussion of Results - Location R6

Monitoring between Tuesday 10 February 2026 and Thursday 12 February 2026 identified that TGO activities remained inaudible during the assessment period at location R6. The estimated mining contributions were measured at <35dBA, therefore TGO emissions remained below the relevant noise limit of 35dB LAeq(15min). Extraneous sources such as traffic, MAC operator noise, livestock, dogs barking, insects and windblown vegetation were audible during the measurement period.

## 5.6 Discussion of Results - Location R23

Monitoring between Tuesday 10 February 2026 and Thursday 12 February 2026 identified that TGO activities were audible on one occasion during the assessment period at location R23. The estimated mining contributions were measured at <32dBA during the evening period and <34dBA for the night period, therefore the noise limit of 38dB LAeq(15min) for evening and 36dB LAeq(15min) for night was satisfied. Extraneous sources such as traffic, insects, birds, dogs barking and windblown vegetation were audible during the measurement period.

## 6 Comparison of Attended and Unattended Monitoring Results

To address Condition 10D of Part B of the Development Consent SSD 9176045 (2023), a program to calibrate and validate the real-time noise monitoring results with the attended monitoring results has been completed.

The validation compares monthly attended monitoring results against the closest assessed unattended monitoring location. Currently, TGO has an unattended real-time monitoring terminal installed at the Brooklands property (nearest to R23). **Figure 1** identifies the location of the monitor with respect to the attended monitoring locations. It is noted that the Brooklands unattended monitor is situated 600m west of the attended noise monitoring location R23, therefore, background (LA90) noise levels are significantly lower due to offset distance to highway traffic.

Historically, a comparison of mine noise contributions between attended and unattended noise monitoring demonstrates a general consistency between attended and unattended results. It was noted that insects, and highway traffic noise influenced measured noise levels for this assessment. Furthermore, for February 2026, results remained below the relevant criteria for attended locations.

Table 9 provides a summary comparison of results between the attended and unattended noise surveys for R23.

**Table 9 Comparison of Attended and Unattended Results**

Assessment Type	Time (hrs)	Descriptor (dBA re 20µPa)			Criteria	Mine Noise Contribution	Meteorology <sup>1</sup>	Description and SPL, dBA
		LAmax	LAeq	LA90				
		<b>Tuesday 10 February 2026</b>						
Attended	20:08	74	49	38	38	<28	WD: N WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: D Traffic 30-74 Insects 35-38 Birds 29-48 TGO Inaudible	
Unattended	20:15	59	40	31	38	<21	No Audio Trigger	
Attended	22:48	61	47	43	36	<33	WD: S WS: 0.6m/s Stab Class: D Traffic 44-61 Insects 40-57 Birds 38-48 TGO Inaudible	
Unattended	22:45	57	44	35	36	<25	No Audio Trigger	
<b>Wednesday 11 February 2026</b>								
Attended	20:21	60	45	39	38	<29	WD: E WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: E Traffic 48-60 Insects 38-40 Birds 37-42 TGO Inaudible	
Unattended	20:15	55	39	29	38	<20	No Audio Trigger	
Attended	22:42	63	48	44	36	<34	WD: N WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: E Traffic 43-63 Insect 40-44 Birds 37-45 Dog Barking 43-46 TGO Inaudible	
Unattended	22:45	54	40	27	36	<20	No Audio Trigger	
<b>Thursday 12 February 2026</b>								
Attended	19:44	63	49	42	38	<32	WD: SW WS: 1.1m/s Stab Class: D Birds 37-63 Traffic 42-54 Windblown Vegetation 30-51 TGO Inaudible	
Unattended	19:45	70	45	38	38	<28	No Audio Trigger	
Attended	22:22	60	44	38	36	25	WD: N WS: <0.1m/s Stab Class: D Traffic 35-60 Insects 32-39 TGO Hum 25-33	
Unattended	22:15	52	40	36	36	<26	No Audio Trigger	

Note 1: Meteorological data obtained from TGO's on-site weather station or by direct measurement by the operator.

## 7 Conclusion

Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd (MAC) has completed a Noise Monitoring Assessment (NMA) on behalf of Tomingley Gold Operations Pty Ltd (TGO). The assessment was completed to provide monthly monitoring data so that TGO can actively quantify and manage site noise emissions.

Attended monitoring conducted between Tuesday 10 February 2026 and Friday 13 February 2026 identified that TGO mine noise was audible on several occasions during the measurement period. A review of monitoring data and operator attended observations determined that TGO contributions remained below relevant limits.

*This page has been intentionally left blank*

# Appendix A – Glossary of Terms

A number of technical terms have been used in this report and are explained in **Table A1**.


<b>Table A1 Glossary of Acoustical Terms</b>	
Term	Description
1/3 Octave	Single octave bands divided into three parts
Octave	A division of the frequency range into bands, the upper frequency limit of each band being twice the lower frequency limit.
ABL	Assessment Background Level (ABL) is defined in the NPI as a single figure background level for each assessment period (day, evening and night). It is the tenth percentile of the measured L90 statistical noise levels.
Ambient Noise	The total noise associated with a given environment. Typically, a composite of sounds from all sources located both near and far where no particular sound is dominant.
A Weighting	A standard weighting of the audible frequencies designed to reflect the response of the human ear to sound.
Background Noise	The underlying level of noise present in the ambient noise, excluding the noise source under investigation, when extraneous noise is removed. This is usually represented by the LA90 descriptor
dBA	Noise is measured in units called decibels (dB). There are several scales for describing noise, the most common being the 'A-weighted' scale. This attempts to closely approximate the frequency response of the human ear.
dB(Z), dB(L)	Decibels Z-weighted or decibels Linear (unweighted).
Extraneous Noise	Sound resulting from activities that are not typical of the area.
Hertz (Hz)	The measure of frequency of sound wave oscillations per second - 1 oscillation per second equals 1 hertz.
LA10	A sound level which is exceeded 10% of the time.
LA90	Commonly referred to as the background noise, this is the level exceeded 90% of the time.
LAeq	Represents the average noise energy or equivalent sound pressure level over a given period.
LAmx	The maximum sound pressure level received at the microphone during a measuring interval.
Masking	The phenomenon of one sound interfering with the perception of another sound. For example, the interference of traffic noise with use of a public telephone on a busy street.
RBL	The Rating Background Level (RBL) as defined in the NPI, is an overall single figure representing the background level for each assessment period over the whole monitoring period. The RBL, as defined is the median of ABL values over the whole monitoring period.
Sound power level (Lw or SWL)	This is a measure of the total power radiated by a source in the form of sound and is given by $10 \cdot \log_{10} (W/W_0)$ . Where W is the sound power in watts to the reference level of $10^{-12}$ watts.
Sound pressure level (Lp or SPL)	the level of sound pressure; as measured at a distance by a standard sound level meter. This differs from Lw in that it is the sound level at a receiver position as opposed to the sound 'intensity' of the source.

Table A2 provides a list of common noise sources and their typical sound level.

**Table A2 Common Noise Sources and Their Typical Sound Pressure Levels (SPL), dBA**

Source	Typical Sound Pressure Level
Threshold of pain	140
Jet engine	130
Hydraulic hammer	120
Chainsaw	110
Industrial workshop	100
Lawn-mower (operator position)	90
Heavy traffic (footpath)	80
Elevated speech	70
Typical conversation	60
Ambient suburban environment	40
Ambient rural environment	30
Bedroom (night with windows closed)	20
Threshold of hearing	0

**Figure A1 – Human Perception of Sound**





Muller Acoustic Consulting Pty Ltd  
PO Box 678, Kotara NSW 2289  
ABN: 36 602 225 132  
Ph: +61 2 4920 1833

[mulleracoustic.com](http://mulleracoustic.com)

